

**BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA**

**DOCKET NO. 2009-3-E**

In the Matter of	)	
Annual Review of Base Rates	)	
for Fuel Costs for	)	
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	)	<b>TESTIMONY OF</b>
	)	<b>RONALD A. JONES</b>

---

1   **Q.   PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND POSITION.**

2   A.   My name is Ronald A. Jones. My business address is 526 South Church Street,  
3       Charlotte, North Carolina. I am Senior Vice President, Nuclear Operations for Duke  
4       Energy Carolinas, LLC (“Duke Energy Carolinas” or the “Company”).

5   **Q.   WHAT ARE YOUR PRESENT RESPONSIBILITIES AT DUKE ENERGY**  
6       **CAROLINAS?**

7   A.   As Senior Vice President of Nuclear Operations, I am responsible for providing  
8       direct oversight for the day-to-day safe and reliable operation of all three Duke  
9       Energy Carolinas-operated nuclear stations -- Oconee, McGuire and Catawba. This  
10      includes providing direction for operations, security, safety, engineering,  
11      maintenance, radiation protection, chemistry, etc. In addition, in February 2008, I  
12      assumed responsibility for the nuclear fleet support and major projects organizations.

13  **Q.   PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**  
14       **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

15  A.   I graduated from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in Blacksburg,  
16       Virginia with a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering. I am a member  
17       of the American Nuclear Society and the Institute of Electrical and Electronic  
18       Engineers; I am Chairman of the Pressurized Water Reactors Owners Group  
19       Executive Management Group and Executive Committee; I am Chairman of the  
20       Carolinas Nuclear Cluster; and I am an executive member of the Nuclear Energy  
21       Institute Nuclear Security and Workforce Working Groups. I am also a current  
22       member of the Board of Directors for Junior Achievement of the Central Carolinas  
23       and the Lake Norman Charter School. I began my career at Duke Energy Carolinas

1 (formerly known as Duke Power Company) in 1980 as an engineer at Catawba  
2 Nuclear Station. I received my senior operator license in 1987. After a series of  
3 promotions, I was named Manager, Maintenance Engineering in 1988;  
4 Superintendent, Instrument and Electrical in 1991; Superintendent, Operations,  
5 McGuire Nuclear Station in 1994; Station Manager, Catawba Nuclear Station in  
6 1997; and Station Manager, Oconee Nuclear Station in 2001. I was named Vice  
7 President, Oconee Nuclear Station in 2002. I was named to Senior Vice President of  
8 Nuclear Operations in January 2006.

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**  
10 **PROCEEDING?**

11 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the performance of Duke Energy  
12 Carolinas' nuclear generation fleet during the July 2008 through May 2009 actual  
13 period under review and describe changes forthcoming in the June 2009 through  
14 September 2010 forecast period.

15 **Q. YOUR TESTIMONY INCLUDES 3 EXHIBITS. WERE THESE EXHIBITS**  
16 **PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR DIRECTION AND UNDER YOUR**  
17 **SUPERVISION?**

18 A. Yes. These exhibits were prepared at my direction and under my supervision.

19 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE EXHIBITS.**

20 A. The exhibits and descriptions are as follows:

21 Jones Exhibit 1 - Calculation of the nuclear capacity factor for the actual  
22 period pursuant to SC Code Ann. § 58-27-865  
23 Jones Exhibit 2 - Nuclear outage data for the actual period

Jones Exhibit 3 - Nuclear outage data for the forecast period

**Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS' NUCLEAR GENERATION PORTFOLIO.**

A. Duke Energy Carolinas' nuclear generation portfolio consists of approximately 5,200 megawatts ("MWs") of generating capacity, made up as follows:

Oconee Nuclear Station - 2,538 MWs

McGuire Nuclear Station - 2,200 MWs

Catawba Nuclear Station - 435 MWs (Duke Energy Carolinas' 19.2% ownership of the Catawba Nuclear Plant)

**Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS' NUCLEAR GENERATION ASSETS.**

A. Duke Energy Carolinas' nuclear fleet consists of three generating stations with seven generation units. Oconee Nuclear Station, located in Oconee County, South Carolina, began commercial operation in 1973, and was the first nuclear station designed, built and operated by Duke Energy Carolinas. It has the distinction of being the second nuclear station in the country to have its license renewed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC"). The operating licenses for Oconee 1, 2, and 3, originally issued for 40 years, were renewed for an additional 20 years until 2033, 2033, and 2034, respectively. McGuire Nuclear Station, located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina, began commercial operation in 1981. Duke Energy Carolinas jointly owns the Catawba Nuclear Station, located on Lake Wylie in York County, South Carolina, with North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number One ("NCMPA"), North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation

1 (“NCEMC”), and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency (“PMPA”). In 2003, the  
2 NRC renewed the licenses for McGuire and Catawba, extending operations until  
3 2041 (McGuire 1) and 2043 (McGuire 2, Catawba 1 and 2). On September 30,  
4 2008, the Company and NCEMC closed on the purchase of Saluda River’s  
5 ownership interest in unit 1 of Catawba Nuclear Station. Following the close of the  
6 purchase, Duke Energy Carolinas’ ownership interest in the Catawba station  
7 increased from 12.5% to 19.2%. This increase in ownership is reflected in the net  
8 nuclear generation used to calculate the proposed fuel rate as described by Company  
9 witness Jane McManeus in her testimony and exhibits. The Company’s nuclear  
10 fleet supplied just over half of the power used by its customers during the actual  
11 period.

12 **Q. WHAT ARE THE COMPANY’S OBJECTIVES IN THE OPERATION OF**  
13 **ITS NUCLEAR GENERATION ASSETS?**

14 A. The primary objective of Duke Energy Carolinas’ nuclear generation department is  
15 to provide safe, reliable and cost-effective electricity to the Company’s Carolinas  
16 customers. The Company achieves this objective through its focus in a number of  
17 key areas. Operations personnel and other station employees are well-trained and  
18 execute their responsibilities to the highest standards, in accordance with detailed  
19 procedures. The Company maintains station equipment and systems reliably, and  
20 ensures timely implementation of work plans and projects that enhance the  
21 performance of systems, equipment, and personnel. Station refueling and  
22 maintenance outages are conducted through the execution of well-planned, quality

1 work activities, which effectively ready the plant for operation until the next planned  
2 outage.

3 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PERFORMANCE OF THE COMPANY'S**  
4 **NUCLEAR GENERATING SYSTEM DURING THE ACTUAL PERIOD**  
5 **UNDER REVIEW OF JULY 2008 THROUGH MAY 2009.**

6 A. According to statistical data provided by the Electric Power Research Institute,  
7 Catawba Nuclear Station was the third most thermally efficient nuclear power plant  
8 in the United States in 2008. Catawba Unit 2 had the fourth lowest heat rate in the  
9 country, and Catawba Unit 1 came in fifth with heat rates of 9,450 British thermal  
10 units ("BTU") per kilowatt hours ("kWh") and 9,461 BTU per kWh, respectively.  
11 The Company's 2008 nuclear system average capacity factor was 91.50%, which  
12 was the fourth highest capacity factor for a five refueling outage year. In addition,  
13 Oconee Unit 3 and Catawba Unit 2 set capacity factor records of 101.94% and  
14 102.88%, respectively.

15 Overall, the Company's nuclear plants operated extremely well during the  
16 actual period. Jones Exhibit 1 sets forth the achieved nuclear capacity factor for the  
17 period July 2008 through May 2009 based on the criteria set forth in Section 58-27-  
18 865, Code of Laws of South Carolina. The statute states in pertinent part as follows:

19 There shall be a rebuttable presumption that an electrical utility made  
20 every reasonable effort to minimize cost associated with the  
21 operation of its nuclear generation facility or system, as applicable, if  
22 the utility achieved a net capacity factor of ninety-two and one-half  
23 percent or higher during the period under review. The calculation of  
24 the net capacity factor shall exclude reasonable outage time.

25  
26 As shown on Jones Exhibit 1, Duke Energy Carolinas achieved a net nuclear  
27 capacity factor, excluding reasonable outage time, of 102.91% for the current period

1 under review. This capacity factor is well above the 92.5% set forth in S.C. Code §  
2 58-27-865.

3 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS OUTAGES OCCURING AT THE COMPANY'S**  
4 **NUCLEAR FACILITIES DURING THE JULY 2008 THROUGH MAY 2009**  
5 **ACTUAL PERIOD.**

6 A. In general, refueling requirements, maintenance requirements, prudent maintenance  
7 practices and NRC operating requirements impact the availability of the Company's  
8 nuclear system. The Company's nuclear performance in operating its nuclear fleet  
9 has improved dramatically through the years. In particular, shorter refueling outages  
10 and improved forced outage rates have contributed to increasing the capacity factors  
11 achieved by the Company's nuclear fleet to consistently above 90% in recent years.  
12 Duke Energy Carolinas continues to be a leader in nuclear performance. The  
13 Company, however, is not alone in its excellence. The nuclear industry as a whole  
14 has been making great strides in improving operating performance. In an effort to  
15 continue this trend, the nuclear organization is placing additional focus on pre-  
16 outage planning and milestone adherence through a fleet-wide approach to outage  
17 planning. An example of the emphasis put on this effort in 2008 is the Company's  
18 creation of an Outage Improvement Team, which is assigned the task of maximizing  
19 outage predictability without compromising safety and reliability.

20 In general, if an unanticipated issue that has the potential to become an  
21 online reliability issue is discovered while a unit is offline for a scheduled outage,  
22 the outage is usually extended to take the time to perform necessary maintenance or  
23 repairs prior to returning the unit to service. Duke Energy Carolinas' scheduling

1 philosophy is to plan for the best possible outcome rather than to build contingency  
2 days into the outage plan. When an extension is necessary, however, the Company  
3 believes that such extensions during non-peak periods result in longer continuous  
4 run times and fewer forced outages, thereby reducing fuel costs in the long run. In  
5 the event that a unit is forced offline, every effort is made to safely return the unit to  
6 service as quickly as possible.

7           There were four refueling and maintenance outages during the actual period.  
8 The McGuire Unit 1 fall refueling outage duration was extended just over 20 days  
9 due to emergent equipment issues most significantly associated with control rod  
10 drive connectors. The Oconee Unit 2 fall refueling outage was completed with only  
11 a slight increase to the scheduled outage duration. The work completed in this  
12 outage included replacement of all four reactor coolant pump seals as a result of the  
13 emergent modifications developed during the Oconee Unit 1 2008 spring outage.  
14 The Catawba Unit 2 spring refueling outage was completed in just over 42 days. Of  
15 the major work completed, the most significant challenge was the Alloy 600 reactor  
16 vessel hot leg nozzle weld overlay project. Instead of weld overlays, volumetric  
17 inspection, which meets the industry requirement for a five-year period, ascertained  
18 that no internal cracking of the existing nozzle is present. Finally, the Oconee Unit 3  
19 spring refueling outage was completed in just over 26 days, making it the shortest  
20 Oconee refueling ever. Jones Exhibit 2 shows the dates of, and explanations for, all  
21 outages of a week or more in duration experienced during the actual period.



1   **Q.   DID THE DROUGHT CONDITIONS DESCRIBED BY COMPANY**  
2       **WITNESS ROEBEL HAVE ANY IMPACT ON NUCLEAR**  
3       **PERFORMANCE IN THE ACTUAL PERIOD?**

4   A.   No, they did not. As described in my testimony in Docket No. 2008-3-E, however,  
5       in order to ensure that generation is available if drought conditions reoccur in the  
6       future, the Company completed piping modifications at McGuire in the spring of  
7       2008 that allow for operation of the McGuire units at lake elevations up to 15 feet  
8       below full pond. These modifications would enable continued operations if Lake  
9       Norman elevations were to drop 8 feet below the prior administratively controlled  
10      elevation.

11   **Q.   PLEASE DISCUSS THE PLANNED OUTAGE SCHEDULE FOR THE**  
12      **JUNE 2009 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2010 FORECAST PERIOD.**

13   A.   Jones Exhibit 3 shows the dates of and explanations for forecast outages of a week  
14      or more in duration. **\*\*\*BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*** [REDACTED]

15      [REDACTED]

16      [REDACTED]

17      [REDACTED]       **\*\*\*END**

18      **CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\***

19   **Q.   DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

20   A.   Yes, it does.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS  
SOUTH CAROLINA FUEL CLAUSE  
2008 ANNUAL FUEL HEARING  
NUCLEAR PLANT PERFORMANCE  
CAPACITY FACTOR 7/08 - 5/09

1	Nuclear System Actual Net Generation During Test Period	53,283,828 MWH
2	Total Number of Hours During Test Period	8,040
3	Nuclear System MDC During Test Period	6,996.0 MW
4	Reasonable Nuclear System Reductions	4,472,823 MWH
5	Nuclear System Capacity Factor $\left[ \frac{1}{((2 * 3) - 4)} \right] * 100$	<u>102.91</u> %

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS  
SOUTH CAROLINA FUEL CLAUSE  
2009 ANNUAL FUEL HEARING  
NUCLEAR PLANT PERFORMANCE

Nuclear Outages Lasting One Week Or More - Actual Period

<u>Unit</u>	<u>Date of Outage</u>	<u>Explanation of Outage</u>
McGuire 1	09/20/08-11/12/08	Scheduled Refueling and Equipment Refurbishment - EOC 19; includes a 20 day extension due to emergent work and execution issues with the most significant schedule impact associated with control rod drive connectors
Oconee 2	10/25/08-12/13/08	Scheduled Refueling - EOC 23; included replacement of all four reactor coolant pump seals as a result of the emergent modification developed during the Oconee Unit 1 spring outage
Catawba 2	03/07/09-04/18/09	Scheduled Refueling - EOC 16; includes a slight delay due to Alloy 600 mitigation efforts
Oconee 3	4/25/09-05/21/09	Scheduled Refueling - EOC 24; shortest refueling outage in Oconee history

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS  
SOUTH CAROLINA FUEL CLAUSE  
2009 ANNUAL FUEL HEARING  
NUCLEAR PLANT PERFORMANCE

Nuclear Outages Lasting One Week Or More - Forecast Period

<u>Unit</u>	<u>Date of Outage</u>	<u>Explanation of Outage</u>
-------------	-----------------------	------------------------------

**REDACTED**